IVERMECTIN –
A POTENTIAL GLOBAL SOLUTION TO THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Recently, a wave of negative results were published from trials on numerous COVID-19 therapies, essentially eliminating any role for remdesivir, hydroxychloroquine, lopinavir/ritonavir, interferon, convalescent plasma, tocilizumab, and mono-clonal antibody therapy.1–6 One year on, the only therapy considered “proven” as an effective treatment in COVID-19 are the use of corticosteroids in patients with moderate to severe illness.7

Since March 2020, our expert panel, the Front Line COVID-19 Critical Care Alliance (www.flccc.net) led by Professor Paul Marik, has continuously reviewed the rapidly emerging basic science, translational, and clinical data in COVID-19 with the aim of ensuring that our MATH+ Hospital Treatment protocol both continuously evolves and stays current. As of October 28th, 2020, based on the increasing and recently reported data from a number of published and unpublished trials, we have concluded that the drug Ivermectin, an anti-parasitic drug with increasingly well-known anti-viral8–16 and anti-inflammatory properties has demonstrated profound activity against COVID-19. Based on these data, we have devised a new prophylaxis and early treatment protocol against COVID-19 which we have named the “I-MASK+” protocol which we believe may serve as a global solution to the pandemic. The evidence base in support of this conclusion shows that Ivermectin:

1. Inhibits SARS-CoV-2 replication, leading to absence of nearly all viral material by 48h in infected cell cultures17
2. Prevents transmission and development of COVID-19 disease in household members of infected patients18,19
3. Hastens recovery and prevents deterioration in patients with mild to moderate disease treated early after symptoms20–25
4. Hastens recovery and avoidance of ICU admission and death in hospitalized patients25,26
5. Leads to striking reductions in case-fatality rates in regions with population-wide distribution and use27,28

Equally critical features of Ivermectin supporting its potential role as a global intervention are that it is FDA approved, inexpensive, easily compounded, well-tolerated, and has an excellent safety profile and long history of use.29 Further, the drug has an extended duration of activity, and would require as little as one dose a week as a prophylaxis agent, and from 4-6 doses over two days as a therapeutic agent. The data suggests that as little as one or two doses a week taken by a significant proportion of citizens may lead to population-wide protection and reduced transmission in a manner that is easier to achieve, more effective, and less expensive than the still elusive and widely suspect vaccine.19

The above listed studies showing the physiologic impacts of Ivermectin therapy in COVID-19 are all referenced below. One study deserves particular attention, posted on the pre-print server Researchgate earlier this month by Dr. Juan Chamie which provides an analysis of large amounts of real-world epidemiologic data in support of Ivermectin as an effective population-wide intervention in Peru.27

The study provides population mortality data among 8 regions in Peru before and after the decision of the Peruvian Ministry of Health to recommend and initiate the widespread distribution of hundreds of thousands of doses of Ivermectin for the treatment of COVID-19 patients. Figure 1 below illustrates a dramatic, temporally associated reduction in case fatality rates in patients over 60 after widespread distribution of ivermectin was initiated, a response seen in multiple regions at different times in the pandemic corresponding to the varying dates of initiation of distribution of Ivermectin. By focusing solely on patients over 60, the analyses remove the potential confounding decreases in mortality that could be caused by an increase of infections in healthier, younger people.
Figure 1  Excess of deaths/population & COVID-19 cases/population (on population older than 60)

Figure 2  Cases and deaths by country
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In a corroboration of the data in Figure 1, our group pulled case counts and death rates from several countries including Peru from the highly cited Worldometer COVID-19 global data site. The data from Peru in Figure 2 above reflect similar impacts, i.e. compared to the other countries which reveal a “mirrored” increase in deaths correlating with each “spike” of cases, in Peru, the large increase in case counts from early August to mid-September instead correlated with first a plateau and then a steady decrease in deaths, even beginning during the massive spike, with death rates now approaching pre-pandemic levels.

In a similar “natural experiment” in Brazil, several large cities made the decision to widely distribute “home treatment kits” containing Ivermectin to its citizens, the city of Itajai offered ivermectin to the entire population (approx. 200,000) and some 120,000 accepted. In May the large city of Macapa opted to treat patients with Ivermectin and Azithromycin, targeting those at risk or who had contact with a possible carrier. The city of Natal with a population of 1 million had recommended Ivermectin for COVID-19 treatment and also for prophylaxis of health staff; after initial legal resistance, 1 million doses were distributed.

The data is for September 14 from the official Brazilian government site (https://covid.saude.gov.br/) and the national press consortium, the table shows data mid-September compared to mid-August (most Ivermectin was administered during July). The bolded regions below all had a major city with Ivermectin distribution, the other nearby regions did not.

Table 1  Change in death rates among neighboring regions in Brazil
(bolded regions contained a major city that distributed Ivermectin to its citizens, the unbolded regions did not)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGION</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>CHANGE IN AVERAGE DEATHS (%) PREVIOUS WEEK COMPARED TO 2 WEEKS AGO (A)</th>
<th>TOTAL COVID-19 RELATED DEATHS</th>
<th>DEATHS/100K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Santa Catarina</td>
<td>−36</td>
<td>2,529</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paraná</td>
<td>−3</td>
<td>3,823</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rio Grande do Sul</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>4,055</td>
<td>33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>Amapá</td>
<td>−75</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>80.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amazonas</td>
<td>−42</td>
<td>3,892</td>
<td>93.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pará</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6,344</td>
<td>73.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Rio Grande do Norte</td>
<td>−65</td>
<td>2,315</td>
<td>66.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ceará</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>8,666</td>
<td>95.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paraíba</td>
<td>−30</td>
<td>2,627</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Beyond the death rate reductions found above, case counts also were reduced in the cities compared to neighboring ones without Ivermectin distribution as below:

Table 2  Case count decreases in Brazilian cities with ivermectin distribution programs
(bolded cities distributed ivermectin, neighboring cities in same region without distribution programs listed below)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGION</th>
<th>CONFIRMED NEW CASES/MONTH</th>
<th>JUNE</th>
<th>JULY</th>
<th>AUGUST</th>
<th>POPULATION 2020 (1000)</th>
<th>% AUGUST VS. JUNE/JULY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Itajai</td>
<td>2123</td>
<td>2854</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapecó</td>
<td>1760</td>
<td>1754</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>Macapá</td>
<td>7966</td>
<td>2481</td>
<td>2370</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ananindeua</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>1521</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Natal</td>
<td>9009</td>
<td>7554</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>João Pessoa</td>
<td>9437</td>
<td>7963</td>
<td>5384</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The country of Haiti presents another interesting case in that it has a national program to prevent lymphatic filariasis in which it distributes ivermectin to 1.2 million people a year. In Figure 3, case counts and death rates in Haiti (middle section) is compared to its neighbor the Dominican Republic as well as the United States.

From the CDC website about this program: “CDC and partners have been supporting Haiti to eliminate LF and, over the past year, have dramatically increased the number of people in the capital of Port-au-Prince who have received treatment, reaching an additional 600,000 people and achieving mass drug administration targets of 65% for the first time in more than five years. CDC experts worked on community-level planning to improve local engagement and drug distribution, as well as real-time tracking to confirm drugs were reaching those in need.”

**Figure 3 COVID-19 cases and deaths (per million habitants) in the Dominican Republic, Haiti and the USA**
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Source: [https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/](https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/)  
Analyst: iuanichamie@gmail.com @iichamie

Similar population-wide decreases are also seen in Figure 4 below using data from Paraguay which demonstrate that after the government of the state of Alta Parana launched its ivermectin distribution “de-worming” program in early September (apparently a guise to avoid reprimand from the National Ministry of Health which was against using Ivermectin to treat coronavirus), they distributed 30,000 boxes of Ivermectin in early September. By October 15th, the governor declared there were very few cases left in the state.

The numerous randomized and observational trials cited above can also be looked at using meta-analysis. Using this technique, a consistent, reproducible, and summary mortality benefit is found among both the randomized and observational trials, wherein both sets of trials reach a high degree of statistical significance in favor of Ivermectin treatment as seen in Figure 5.
In conclusion, based on the in-vitro, animal, clinical, and real-world epidemiologic evidence to date, we find that Ivermectin, due to its highly potent anti-viral and anti-inflammatory activity, should be considered a highly effective global solution to the COVID-19 pandemic, not only by drastically reducing transmission rates via its prophylaxis capability but
also as a therapeutic intervention which can reduce both the morbidity and mortality among mild, moderate, and even severe disease phases.
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I-MASK+ and MATH+

In March, 2020, the Front Line COVID-19 Critical Care Alliance developed the MATH+ Hospital Treatment Protocol for COVID-19, for use in the hospitalized patient, with an emphasis on early initiation — you will find our “Scientific Review of COVID-19 and MATH+”, a detailed explanation of the efficacy of the protocol, as well as the MATH+ protocol itself (in several languages) on www.flccc.net/math-hospital-treatment

In October, 2020, the FLCCC Alliance developed the I-MASK+ Prophylaxis & Early Outpatient Treatment Protocol for COVID-19, centered around the use of Ivermectin — the I-MASK+ protocol can be downloaded from www.flccc.net/flccc-ivermectin-protocol
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